

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-44972 of 2016

.....

Date of decision:30.1.2017

Monu

...Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

....

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh

.....

Present: Mr. Harish Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Virk, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.234 dated 16.7.2016 registered
for the offences under Sections 323, 506, 307 and 34 IPC at Police Station
Sadar Gohana, District Sonapat.

Notice of motion has been issued in this case.

Mr. B.S. Virk, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana has
put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this
petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Deputy Advocate General, Haryana appearing for the respondent-State and
have gone through the record.

[2]

From the record, I find that the present petitioner is named in the FIR. As per the complainant-Rajender, who got registered the FIR, Monu and Satpal took away his nephew-Rinku alias Suraj with them and from that day he has disappeared. As per the prosecution version, Rinku was found in abandoned manner and there was injuries in the head. The learned State counsel also stated that the injuries on the person of the injured had been declared dangerous to life.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, I find that the present petitioner is named in the FIR and is required for custodial interrogation. Therefore, no ground is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Hence, finding no merit in this petition, the same is dismissed.

January 30, 2017.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp

NOTE:	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
	Whether reportable:	No