

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4400-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 09.02.2017

Suhana Bano and another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and there is no legal impediment to their marriage. They have solemnized marriage. Their marriage is opposed by respondent Nos.4 to 6.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned State counsel, in the Court.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of

marriage and on the merits of the assertions made in the petition, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2, to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and provide necessary protection to them, in accordance with law, in case he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is threatened at the hands of respondent No.4 to 6.

Disposed of.

09.02.2017

ashok

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No