

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-43941 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 28.11.2017.

Tinku Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Ankur Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

This is second bail application filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of bail in case FIR No.52 dated 26.01.2015 registered under Sections 460 and 411 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act at Police Station Sector 10, District Gurgaon.

It is contended that the name of the petitioner does not figure in the FIR. No role has been attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 29.01.2015.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Heard.

The allegations against the petitioner are that he along with his co-accused trespassed into the commercial premises of the complainant, murdered his guard, Ravinder and looted copper wires and brass rolls. The presence of the petitioner is reflected in the CCTV

footage. In pursuance of the disclosure statement suffered by the petitioner, 17 bundles of copper wire were recovered. Otherwise also, this is second bail application. Learned counsel for the petitioner could not point out any fresh circumstance in favour of the petitioner.

Consequently, the present petition is dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

Keeping in view the custody period, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

28.11.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No