

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-44812-2016

Date of decision : 20.02.2017

Nidhi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajiv Vij, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the mother-in-law of the deceased, i.e. the mother of the petitioner, against whom identical allegations have been made, has already been admitted to bail by this Court vide an order dated 20.10.2016 passed in CRM-M-26095-2016, a copy of which is annexed as Annexure P4. He further submits that the petitioner lives in her matrimonial home and has a 10 year old daughter.

Learned State counsel though has not denied the factual position hereinabove, has however submitted that the trial is almost at its fag end, with only two prosecution witnesses left to be examined on 28.08.2017.

Having considered the aforesaid, though under normal circumstances with the trial at its fag end this Court would not admit an accused on bail, but keeping in view the fact that an identically placed person has already been admitted to bail and the petitioner is stated to be

any comment on the actual merits of the case, I consider it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate / Duty Magistrate, Karnal.

Disposed of.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

February 20, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No