

**210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.44778 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : July 13, 2017

Sukhdev Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

It is protection petition by run away couple. Learned State counsel has produced the copies of the statements of both the petitioners, in which they have stated that they had married against the wishes of their parents. They are now living with their family and they do not need any police protection. Photocopies the statements of the petitioners are taken on record.

Today, none has appeared to press the petition. It appears that since the petitioners need not any protection, therefore, none has appeared to press the petition. As such, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

July 13, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No