

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.209

CRM-M No.4387 of 2017
Date of decision: 02.03.2017

Dilbag Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Ravinder S. Budhwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.161 dated 08.11.2016, registered under Section 420 IPC, at Police Station Saha, District Ambala.

On 13.02.2017, while issuing notice of motion, this Court had granted ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating officer subject to the condition prescribed under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; no further recovery is to be made from him as he has already deposited the disputed payable amount and that under the interim orders of this Court not only he has joined the investigation but has fully cooperated with the Investigating Agency.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Balraj Singh, admits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and states that at this stage is no longer required for further questioning.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the order dated 13.02.2017 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the condition prescribed under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Nothing observed herein above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this court on the merits of the case.

If during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal activity/misusing the concession of bail, it will be open to the State to move an appropriate application before the competent Court/this Court for cancellation of the granted anticipatory bail to the petitioner through this order.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

March 02, 2017

Jyoti 1

- (i) Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No*
- (ii) Whether reportable Yes/No*