

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(203)

CRM-M-44716-2016

Decided on: January 20, 2017.

Vijay

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Kumar Yadav, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Learned State counsel submits that all the prosecution witnesses have been examined and the case is at the stage of recording of statement of accused persons under Section 313 Cr.P.C for 23.01.2017. He has further submitted that defence witnesses have also been summoned for said date.

In view of said circumstances, I do not find any ground to refer to the merits of the case and express any opinion regarding the culpability of the petitioner for the purpose of grant of bail.

This petition is disposed of with a direction that in case the trial is not concluded within a period of two months after the next date of hearing and the petitioner is not responsible for causing the delay, the trial Court shall release the petitioner on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to its satisfaction.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

January 20, 2017

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No