

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

Lucky
2017.01.16 15:14

CRM No. M-44712 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.01.2017

Santosh

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, D.A.G., Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

This is the second petition preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C seeking benefit of regular bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.496 dated 27.11.2014 initially registered under sections 419, 420, 467, 471, 120-B, 34 I.P.C at Police Station, Sonipat City, Sonipat (now charge sheeted under section 420 I.P.C read with Section 120-B I.P.C).

Learned counsel for the parties have been heard.

Petitioner has been in custody since 16.10.2015. Even though F.I.R was registered under sections 419, 420, 467, 471, 120-B, 34 I.P.C but petitioner has been charged only under sections 420 I.P.C read with section 120-B I.P.C.

The offences for which the petitioner has been charged are triable by the court of Magistrate.

Counsel has adverted to an order dated 18.11.2016, passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, whereby Surender co-accused and against whom there were identical charges, has been granted the benefit of regular bail.

Without making any observations on merits and on the ground of parity, the petitioner is held entitled to the benefit of bail. Accordingly, present petition is allowed. Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of C.J.M./Duty Magistrate, Sonipat.

Petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

13.01.2017

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No