

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR 563-2010 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.12.2017

Parhlad and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Vishal Khatri, Advocate for
Mr.Sanjiv Kodan, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.R.K.Makkad, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Heard.

Lower Court file perused.

Allegations against the petitioners are that they along with Rati Ram brother of petitioner no.1 way laid Budh Ram on 24.9.1997 when he was returning from Hansi town on his cycle. Parhlad gave lathi blow on the left leg of Budh Ram. Rati Ram gave jelly blow on the right arm of Budh Ram and two sons of petitioner no.1 gave jelly blow on the buttocks and also gave injuries when he was lying on the ground. He was also given blow of Gandasi push wise and thereafter, he was dragged to Dera of Parhlad. Occurrence was witnessed by Ram Parsad, who rushed back to the village and informed Raj Kumar son of the injured Budh Ram. Thereafter, Raj Kumar accompanied by several villagers reached the Dera of Parhlad, where they recovered Budh Ram and removed him to hospital. The medical

evidence shows that as many as six injuries were found, most of the which are punctured wounds. The seat of injuries are such that these cannot be self inflicted. Though in this case, Ram Parsad has turned hostile but Budh Ram and his son Raj Kumar have supported the prosecution case. Both the Courts below have believed their statements.

After going through the evidence, I do not find any illegality or perversity or misreading of the evidence by both the Courts below. There are no merits in the present revision petition. Keeping in view the manner in which the injuries are inflicted, there is no ground to reduce the sentence as well.

Petitioner be re-arrested to undergo the remaining part of sentence.

The present revision petition stands dismissed.

01.12.2017

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No