

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 07.03.2017

1. CRM-M-44660-2016

Khajan Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-1552-2017

Pushpender

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate for
the petitioner in CRM-M-44660-2016.

Mr. Deepkaran Dalal, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-1552-2017.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Shiv Charan Bhola, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-44660-2016, filed by
petitioner-Khajan Singh and CRM-M-1552-2017, filed by petitioner-
Pushpender, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for

Police Station Sadar Palwal, District Palwal, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 307, 427 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act.

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and the complainant also appeared through his counsel. They contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and learned counsel for the complainant and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per the case of prosecution, petitioner-Khajan Singh has been stated to be armed with *danda* and caused grievous injury to Sukhbir on the ribs. Similarly, petitioner-Pushpender has also been stated to be armed with *danda* and caused simple injuries to Mahabir and Netram.

Petitioner-Khajan Singh and petitioner-Pushpender have been in custody since 30.03.2016 and 02.07.2016, respectively. They are not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as they are in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioners in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, these criminal miscellaneous petitions

their furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount amount each to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

07.03.2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

:
:

Yes
No