

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-44641 of 2016

Date of decision: 28.04.2017

Sukhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Prashant Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sumit Dua, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No. 37 dated 30.03.2016, under Section 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station, Women, District Patiala (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise dated 22.11.2016 (Annexure P-2).

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Simranjit Kaur-respondent No.2 (complainant) to the effect that her marriage was solemnized with Sukhwinder Singh-petitioner in the year 1996. Soon after the marriage, her in-laws, including petitioner started harassing and humiliating her on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry. She was also given beatings by them. In this background, the FIR was registered.

After registration of the FIR, with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties vide compromise deed dated 22.11.2016 (Annexure P-2).

In compliance with the order dated 14.12.2016 passed by this Court, parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate. Report from the Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Patiala, has been received in this regard. As per report, Simranjit Kaur-respondent No.2 (complainant) made her statement on 11.01.2017 to the effect that she has compromised the matter with accused-petitioner out of her own free will and without any pressure or coercion. She has no objection if, the above said FIR is quashed. Separate statement of Sukhwinder Singh-petitioner was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements given by the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (CrL) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 37 dated 30.03.2016, under Section 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station, Women Cell, District Patiala, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

28.04.2017
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No