

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

219/B

**CRM-M-43720-2017
DATE OF DECISION:30.11.2017**

RAJU @ PRINCE

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 377, dated 05.05.2017, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 506, (Section 307 IPC added later on) and Section 3 of the SC/ST Act, registered at Police Station City Ballabgarh, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is named in the FIR but no injury is attributed to him. He also contends that even injuries attributed to the other accused are on non-vital parts of the body of the complainant. He further contends that there is no allegation against the petitioner for which a prima-facie case under the SC/ST Act would be made out. He also contends that the petitioner is in custody for over five months since his arrest on 20.05.2017.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Ram Kumar, states that the investigation has been completed and

charges have been framed, however, no prosecution witness has been examined.

Heard.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view that the investigation has been completed and conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, it would be appropriate if the petitioner is granted the concession of regular bail.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner, namely, Raju @ Prince is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

30.11.2017*SwarnjitS***(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No