

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44535 of 2016

Date of decision: 13.1.2017

Riyajuddin @ Bhura and ors.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURMIT RAM

Present: Mr. Satish Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

GURMIT RAM, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners above-mentioned in criminal case bearing FIR No.582 dated 9.11.2016, under Sections 3/13(1), 8/13(3),5/13(2) and 17 of the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrankshan & Gau Sauvardhan Act, 2015, Police Station Punhana, District Mewat.

The prosecution story, in nutshell, is that on 8.11.2016, police party of SHO, Police Station Punhana received secret information that Riyajuddin @ Bhura, Pappu @ Meenudin and Fakruddin @ Guttu, residents of village Shingar are indulging in the slaughtering of cows in

their residential houses and even today also, they are indulging in this act. On this information, the police conducted a raid and three persons were found running from the spot, who were identified as Riyajuddin @ Bhura, Pappu @ Meenudin and Fakruddin @ Guttu. When the spot was inspected, then one slaughtered cow with half skin removed, two iron knives blood stained, one gandasi, one iron scale, one stone, two pieces of ropes etc. were recovered from there. On checking the room in the rear portion, 10 cows and 9 calves were recovered which resulted into the registration of the instant case in which the instant bail application has been filed.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that petitioners have been involved in this case falsely and nothing as alleged was recovered from them. Further, he prayed that the petitioners are ready to join investigation in this case and as such they be permitted to join the same.

But on the other hand, learned State counsel has contended that the alleged recovery of one slaughtered cow along with the weapons used in slaughtering was made from the house of the petitioners. It is further his contention that even 19 animals i.e. 10 cows and 9 calves were also recovered from the rear room of the house of the petitioners, which were kept there for the purpose of slaughtering.

In the light of the gravity of the offence and circumstances of the case, it is not a fit case for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and hence this petition stands dismissed and disposed of

accordingly.

However, nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

13.1.2017
Brij

(GURMIT RAM)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No