

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-4371-2014
Date of Decision :14.02.2017**

INDER MOHAN SINGH @ LADDI

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Viney Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinder Jeet Singh, DAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3-State.

Mr. R.K.Trikha, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The allegations against the petitioner-Inder Mohan Singh @ Laddi in this anticipatory bail application under Section 438 Cr.P.C. registered by way of FIR No.169 dated 19.12.2013 at Police Station City Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur under Sections 406/498A IPC are that he entered into a wedlock with complainant wife-Gurpreet Kaur on 20.12.2009 and subsequently matrimonial dispute has arisen on the allegations of harassment, cruelty, demand of dowry and assaulting of the complainant-wife by the petitioner, the present case has been registered against him.

The contentions of the counsel for the petitioner are that the marriage of the petitioner and his wife was a simple occasion and that after such a long period of time there is no cause for any custodial interrogation and recovery of the articles.

The contentions are stoutly opposed on behalf of the State as well as on behalf of the complainant contending that neither the petitioner

has complied with the order of this Court dated 19.12.2016 nor has cooperated with the investigations and has failed to put in appearance before the courts below in all due matters pending between the parties.

Keeping in view the seriousness of the allegations and that articles of Istridhan are to be recovered together with the irresponsible conduct and total insolence shown by the petitioner to the orders of the Court that too by the petitioner who is a lawyer impinges judicial conscience. Having regard to the conduct of the petitioner as well as his custodial interrogation is essential and the fact that he has failed to appear before the courts below, no case for anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 14, 2017

Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No