

222 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-43608 of 2017(O&M)

Date of decision: November 22, 2017

Anant Ram

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No.295 dated 24.11.2016, under Sections 365, 302, 201 read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Pillukhera, District Jind.

The petitioner was arrested on 25.11.2016 and is in jail since then. With the assistance of learned counsel I have perused the evidence that has been recorded by the trial Court. The evidence shows a prima facie that no case for murder as such is made out though the offence may fall in different provisions of the Indian Penal Code. Therefore, without commenting anything on the merits of the case and particularly the evidence having been recorded by the trial Court, I think that the petitioner should be enlarged on bail. He is, therefore,

released on bail subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) The **CRM-M-43608 of 2017** is allowed.
- (ii) The petitioner be released on bail to the satisfaction of the concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate.
- (iii) The petitioner to surrender his passport with the trial Court, if he possesses the same.
- (iv) Petitioner shall not tamper, influence and threaten the prosecution witnesses and complainant as well.
- (v) In case, the petitioner violates the above said conditions, this order of bail comes to an end automatically without reference to this Court.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

November 22, 2017

sunita

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No