

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-44432-2016

Date of decision : 07.02.2017

Manpreet Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr.Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.Nitesh Singhi, Advocate
for respondents no.5 & 6.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

None is present for the petitioners, possibly because court time is over.

Learned counsel appearing for respondents no.5 & 6 submits that though petitioner no.1 has attained the age of majority, however no action is allegedly being taken by the police even on the complaint filed to the effect that the daughter of the petitioner has allegedly taken away a mobile phone, a laptop and some gold ornaments etc.

This not being a petition with regard to any theft but only a petition seeking protection of life and liberty of the petitioners, who are stated to have married each other of their own free will and now the father of petitioner no.1 also stating that she is of the age of majority, nothing further remains to be considered in this petition, which is disposed of with a

direction to respondents no.2 to 4 to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is duly protected at the hands of respondents no.5 & 6.

The aforesaid direction would obviously not include any genuine grievance that the respondents may have against petitioner no.1 with regard to the alleged theft of any articles, which would be gone into by the Superintendent of Police / Deputy Commissioner of Police concerned, who would ensure that the complaint is actually genuine and is not simply a method to try and harass the petitioners.

With the aforesaid observations, the petition is disposed of.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

February 07, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No