

204

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.44427 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.02.2017

ZILE SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bhateja, Advocate for
Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.844 dated 30.07.2016, registered under Sections 406, 420 IPC at Police Station Chandni Bagh, Panipat, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has already joined the investigation pursuant to order dated 13.12.2016.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Ram Niwas stated that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, but he has not co-operated in the investigation.

The controversy involved in the present case is that two oxen of red and white colour were allegedly given to the co-accused Akhtar for the purposes of transporting sugarcane as against a sum of Rs.6100/-. The said animals were given by the Gaushala Committee on the verification of one Jaipal with a condition that Akhtar was not supposed to sell the animals to other persons. After completion of the assignment, the animals were required to be returned to the Gaushala. Allegations were made against Akhtar that he had sold the animals by taking hefty amount from the cow smugglers.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that petitioner is a old man of 72 years of age and is suffering from various age related diseases. Co-accused Akhtar has since been granted benefit of anticipatory bail by this Court in CRM-M No.29537 of 2016 on 06.09.2016.

In view of aforesaid, I deem it appropriate to make the order dated 13.12.2016 absolute. Ordered accordingly.

Petitioner stands disposed of.

February 16, 2017

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No