

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-44425 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: March 08, 2017

Ashok Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. KPS Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that pursuant to the order dated 13.12.2016, the petitioner has joined investigation.

He also submits that a car has been recovered from him, the driver of which was the person from whom the contraband is stated to have been recovered, i.e. Sukhdev Singh. However, at the time of recovery, the car was not being driven by the aforesaid Sukhdev Singh.

As per the disclosure statement alleged to have been made by the aforesaid Sukhdev Singh, the car was being used for carrying contraband and has also been got recovered from the petitioner.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner was not present at the spot when the alleged recovery was made and his car was also not being used at that particular point of time, the order dated 13.12.2016, granting

him anticipatory bail, is made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

March 08, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no