

**211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-44408 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.02.2017.

Madan Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 the Cr.P.C seeking regular bail in case FIR No.102 dated 02.03.2016, registered under Sections 302 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Taraori, District Karnal.

It is contended that the petitioner did not play any role in the murder of Raghu Rai. The petitioner had no motive to commit the crime. Except the confessional statement, there is no evidence on record to point out the culpability of the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 10.03.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Heard.

As per allegations, deceased Raghu Rai was employed

as labourer in a Rice mill, co-accused Manoj Rai was contractor who owed Rs.30,000/- to the deceased as wages. The petitioner is also a contractor in another Rice mill. The dead body was found near the rice mill. There are allegations of conspiracy against the petitioner. Keeping in view the seriousness of the offence, this Court is of the opinion that no case for grant of bail is made out, at this stage.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

07.02.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No