

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43525-2017

Date of Decision: 24.11.2017

Sapna

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Singal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

Heard both sides.

[2]. This is a case primarily on circumstantial evidence. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the only material available against his client is the disclosure statements of the other accused persons which are inadmissible. Be that as it may, from the statement of PW-11 Bale Ram given before the Trial Court, it would be premature at this stage to entirely exonerate the petitioner since it is a matter of record that the deceased had allegedly informed the witness that he was going to the house of the co-accused Santosh on the fateful evening along with her sister and brother-in-law and one Amit.

[3]. For this reason, this Court is not inclined to release the petitioner at this stage.

[4]. Dismissed.

24.11.2017

Bhumika

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No