

**103 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-43491-2017
Date of decision-17.11.2017**

Munni and another		...Petitioners
	Vs.	
State of Punjab		...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 438 of the Criminal Procedure Code has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.0111, dated 20.09.2017 registered under Sections 323, 452, 342, 354, 354-B, 506 and 148 read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') at Police Station Phase-11, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case. The present FIR has been filed by the complainant in connivance with the petitioners.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and have gone through the case file.

A bare perusal of the FIR reveals that the petitioners armed with sticks entered in the house of the prosecutrix at midnight and gave beatings to her parents and the co-accused tried to outrage the modesty of the prosecutrix. The petitioners have been attributed a specific role.

Keeping in view the alleged participation and specific role, no case for grant of concession of anticipatory bail is made out. This Court feels

that it is a fit case where custodial interrogation of the petitioners are required to unearth the truth.

Consequently, present petition stands dismissed.

Anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

17.11.2017
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No