

**239-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 44369 of 2016
Date of Decision: 30.08.2017**

Paramjod Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Inderjeet Sharma, Advocate,
 for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S. Cheema, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Manjit Singh Uppal, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 to 7.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are accused in cross case registered under Sections 323/325/341/506/523/148/149 of the Indian Penal Code, in F.I.R. No. 61, dated 21.02.2013, under Sections 307/341/323/506/148/149 of the Indian Penal Code (subsequently after investigation, Section 307 of the Indian Penal Code was deleted), at Police Station Tripri Town, District Patiala, dated January 13, 2014, (Annexures P-1 and P-2), have prayed for quashing of cross case with all subsequent proceedings pending in the Court of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patiala, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that it is a case of version and cross version.

[3]. During pendency of petition, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below, in the F.I.R. Case, for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patiala, vide report dated 04.10.2016, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties involved in the F.I.R. case and cross version case is genuine and without any pressure.

[4]. Respondents No. 2 to 7 are represented by their counsel.

[5]. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patiala, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "**Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another**", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

[6]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. Cross case registered under Sections 323/325/341/506/523/148/149 of the Indian Penal Code, in F.I.R. No. 61, dated 21.02.2013, under Sections 307/341/323/506/148/149 of the Indian Penal Code (subsequently after investigation, Section 307 of the Indian Penal Code was deleted), at Police Station Tripri Town, District Patiala , dated January 13, 2014, (Annexures

P-1 and P-2), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

30.08.2017

Apurva

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No