

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.6346 of 2005 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20th February, 2017**

Sukhda

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Neeraj Khanna, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain, Goyal, AAG, Haryana
for the respondent(s)-State.

Mr. H.N.Mehtani, Advocate
for the respondent Haryana Public Services Commission.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

In the present case, the following order was passed by this
Court on 19.05.2005:-

“Adjourned sine die.

*To be listed for hearing after the vigilance enquiry is
completed and the State of Haryana has taken a final decision
as to whether the selected candidates are to be appointed or
not.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that after the
completion of Vigilance Enquiry the main writ petition bearing No.4237 of
2005 was dismissed by this Court vide order dated 28.05.2012 and that
dismissal order has been upheld upto the Supreme Court.

CWP No.6346 of 2005 (O&M)

-2-

Learned counsel for respondent No.2-Haryana Public Services
Commission and learned State counsel have accepted this.

In these circumstances, the instant petition is dismissed in the
same terms as passed in CWP No.4237 of 2005.

20th February, 2017
mamta

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No