

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -44308 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.01.2017

Jobanpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. S.S. Swaich, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.71 dated 21.10.2016, registered under Sections 457 and 380 of IPC, at Police Station Sarhali, District Tarn Taran.

On 12.12.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that no case under Section 457 and 380 of Indian Penal Code is made out. Father of the petitioner is owner of the property in question. The land in question now stands acquired by the National Highway Authority. The father of the petitioner being owner was given compensation against the acquired land. There are no specific allegations against the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl.AG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned State counsel, in the Court.

At this stage, Ms. Anupam Bhanot, Advocate causes representation on behalf of complainant.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1.That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

2.That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement,threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

3.That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

Post again on 24.01.2017.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 12.12.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 12.12.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

24.01.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No