

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-44304-2016
Date of decision-16.03.2017**

**Subhash Chopra
Vs.
State of Haryana**

...Petitioner

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Himanshu Raj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.369 dated 08.11.2016, registered under Sections 15 (2) and 15 (3) of Indian Medical Council Act, 1956; Sections 3, 4, 5 of Medical Termination of Pregnancy Act, 1971 and Sections 315, 336, 420 and 511 of Indian Penal Code and later on added Sections 18(c) and 18 (A) of Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 at Police Station Barwala, District Hisar.

On 20.01.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The learned State counsel, on instructions states that on verification, the degree held by the petitioner was found to be valid, however, the petitioner is not qualified to use the allopathic medicines.

In the circumstances, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from

disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.
List again on 16.03.2017.”

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that in pursuance of the order dated 20.01.2017, the petitioner has joined investigation.

The learned State counsel on instructions, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 20.01.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

March 16, 2017

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No