

219

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-44300 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 3.10.2017

Jatinder Singh Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG Punjab.
Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No. 5 and 6.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner seeks protection of his and his family members' life and liberty from respondents No. 4 to 6. He has some civil dispute with respondents No. 5 and 6. He claims that private respondents are filing different complaints against him.

The perusal of file shows that a civil dispute between the parties is already pending.

In these circumstances, petition is disposed of with a direction to official respondents No. 1 to 3 that in case, petitioner is sought to be summoned in any complaint, he will be summoned only after issuing notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. If the petitioner has any specific complaint that any crime has been committed against him, he is always at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy available under the law.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

3.10.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No