

Date of decision : 10.11.2017

..... Revisionist

Versus

..... Respondents

..... Petitioner

Versus

..... Respondents.

Present:- Revisionist in CRR-1993-2011/respondent No. 1 in CRM-M-1830-2012 in person with Mr. Anmol Partap Singh, Advocate. Mr. Amarjit Markan, Advocate, for the petitioner in CRM-M-1830-2012 and for respondent No. 2 in CRR-1993-2011. Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

By this order, I will dispose of two connected cases i.e. CRR-1993-2011, titled as Simaran Versus State of Punjab and another, and CRM-M-1830-2012, titled as M/S New Shingar Jewellers Versus Simran and another.

Heard.

The parties have compromised the matter before the Lok Adalat Bench of this Court on 19.9.2017 in CRR-1993-2011 and CRM-M-1830-2012, vide compromise deed dated 15.9.2017 (Ex.C1).

CRR-1993-2011 (O/M) and CRM-M-1830-2012 (O/M)

The learned counsels for both parties have confirmed the compromise.

In terms of compromise/settlement, revision is allowed. Present revisionist stands acquitted of the notice of accusation, served upon her. The judgments of both the Courts below are hereby set aside. The litigation costs are also exempted.

In view of the order of even date, passed by this Court in CRR-1993-2011, titled as Simaran Versus State of Punjab and another, CRM-M-1830-2012, titled as M/S New Shingar Jewellers Versus Simran and another, filed by complainant-respondent No. 2 in CRR-1993-2011, for enhancement of sentence and fine accordingly becomes infructuous and is disposed of as such.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

10 .11.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No