

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-4335 of 2017 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: April 25, 2017**

Rajat Sharma and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA**

Present: Mr.Sanjay Jain, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr.Tanuj Sharma, A.A.G. Haryana

Mr.Kewal Singh, Advocate  
for respondent No.2-complainant.

**SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)**

The petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'Cr.P.C.') seeking quashing of FIR No.20 dated 17.1.2017 (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, registered for offences punishable under Sections 406, 420 Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') at Police Station Baldev Nagar, Ambala City, on the basis of the compromise (Annexure P-2).

As per case of the prosecution, the police on the complaint of Randhir Singh recorded FIR for offence punishable under Sections 406, 420 IPC and presented the challan on 19.4.2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter has since been settled vide compromise, copy of which has been placed on file as Annexure P-2.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2-complainant and other private respondent endorsed the submission of learned counsel for the petitioners and has no objection if the impugned FIR (Annexure P-1) is quashed.

Learned State counsel has also not disputed compromise (Annexure P-2).

In order to verify the veracity and genuineness of the settlement between the parties, they were directed to appear before the trial court and get their statements recorded. The trial court has sent its report dated 21.3.2017 stating therein that the compromise has been effected between the complainant and the accused which appears to be voluntary in nature and without any pressure or influence.

Keeping all the above facts in view, I am of the considered opinion that it is a fit case in which the impugned FIR should be quashed. Keeping the case pending will not serve the ends of justice. The quashing of the FIR will provide the parties to this petition an opportunity to live in an amicable, peaceful and harmonious atmosphere which is not only in the interest of the parties but also for their families and ultimately the society at large.

For the reasons as discussed above, the instant petition is allowed and the impugned FIR (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, qua petitioners, is quashed.

**April 25, 2017**

Paritosh Kumar

**(SURINDER GUPTA)  
JUDGE**

***Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No***

***Whether Reportable: Yes/No***