

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.260

CRM-M No.44236 of 2016

Date of decision: 02.03.2017

Parshottam Lal and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition, quashing of FIR No.84 dated 11.10.2016, registered under Sections 307, 34 IPC (subsequently reduced to Section 336 IPC) and Section 25, 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Tappa Mandi, District Barnala, is sought for on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-3).

Through order of this Court dated 12.12.2016, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded, who in turn was directed to send a report to this Court as also to apprise this Court if any other case of P.O. proceeding is pending against either of the parties.

As directed, report dated 20.12.2016 from the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Barnala, has been received, as per which the parties recorded their statements before the trial Court in terms of the compromise arrived at between them and no other case of the parties is pending before

the Court.

Learned State counsel has also raised no objection.

In view of the above, continuation of the proceedings in pursuance to the afore-referred FIR would be an abuse of the process of law and in terms of the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others versus State of Haryana and others, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, I deem it just and proper to allow the petition and resultantly quash the FIR No.84 dated 11.10.2016, registered under Sections 307, 34 IPC (subsequently reduced to Section 336 IPC) and Section 25, 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Tappa Mandi, District Barnala, and all proceedings arising therefrom.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

March 02, 2017

Jyoti 1

(i) Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
(ii) Whether reportable Yes/No