

**CRM-M-43322-2017,
CRM-M-44712-2017 and
CRM-M-46273-2017**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 12.12.2017

1. CRM-M-43322-2017

Harmail Singh @ Mehla

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

2. CRM-M-44712-2017

Jatinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

3. CRM-M-46273-2017

Ranjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. R.S.Malhotra, Advocate,
for the petitioners in all these petitions.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-43322-2017, filed by
petitioner-Harmail Singh @ Mehla, CRM-M-44712-2017, filed by
petitioner-Jatinder Singh and CRM-M-46273-2017, filed by petitioner-

**CRM-M-43322-2017,
CRM-M-44712-2017 and
CRM-M-46273-2017**

Ranjit Singh, under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.158 dated 24.08.2017, registered at Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar Rural, under Sections 323, 341, 506, 451, 148 and 149 of IPC and Sections 307 and 379 of IPC added later on.

Notice of motion was issued in these petitions. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested all these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that all the petitioners are named in the FIR. Petitioner-Harmail Singh @ Mehla has been stated to be armed with iron rod and caused simple injury on the left knee of the complainant; petitioner-Jatinder Singh has been stated to be armed with Sri Sahib (small religious *Kirpan*) and caused injury on the left leg of the complainant, which is declared as simple one and petitioner-Ranjit Singh has been stated to be armed with *Karra* and caused simple injury on the left shoulder of the complainant.

Learned State counsel submitted that in the present case, there is no injury which has been declared as dangerous to life.

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 16.11.2017 passed in CRM-M-43322-2017, dated 27.11.2017 passed in CRM-M-44712-2017 and dated 05.12.2017 passed in CRM-M-46273-2017 by this Court, the

**CRM-M-43322-2017,
CRM-M-44712-2017 and
CRM-M-46273-2017**

petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are not required for custodial interrogation. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending them to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 16.11.2017 passed in CRM-M-43322-2017, dated 27.11.2017 passed in CRM-M-44712-2017 and dated 05.12.2017 passed in CRM-M-46273-2017, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

12.12.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No