

**204-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-44190 of 2016.

Date of Decision: 04.09.2017.

Satish Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Abhimanyu Kalsi, Advocate,
for the complainant.

Parties in person.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438
the Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 524 dated
09.10.2016 registered under Sections 323, 406, 498-A and 506 IPC at
Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra.

On 12.12.2016 this Court had passed the following
order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioner
Nos.1&2 are the parents of the husband of the complainant,
petitioner No.3 is married sister of the husband of the complainant
whereas petitioner No.4 is the elder brother of the husband of the
complainant and they have been roped in the said FIR on account

of the fact being family members of the husband of the complainant. It is submitted that as per the FIR itself they are residing separately. They are ready to join investigation.

Notice of motion returnable by 02.03.2017.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioners be released on interim bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

It is further contended that in pursuance of the order dated 12.12.2016, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of above, the order dated 12.12.2016 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to furnishing of bail-bonds/surety bonds by the petitioner to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

04.09.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No