

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-3129-2010

Date of decision : 07.03.2017

Surti Ram and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.D.S.Pheruman, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Tarundeep Kumar, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated 11.01.2017, the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ajnala, has been received, to the effect that the statements of respondent no.2, i.e. the complainant, as also of the petitioners, Surti Ram and Kulwant Singh, were recorded on that date, to the effect that the matter had been compromised between the parties.

As per the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, the compromise effected is genuine without any pressure and undue influence.

Even keeping in view the above and the fact that petitioner no.1 is the brother of respondent no.2 and petitioner no.2 is the nephew of respondent no.2, but since the petition has not been argued on merits, obviously the petitioners cannot be acquitted of the charges framed against

commission of offences punishable under Sections 326, 324, 323, read with Section 34 IPC, I consider it appropriate to reduce the sentence of imprisonment of the petitioners, for the commission of the offences aforesaid, to the extent already undergone by them.

Consequently, they would be released from custody forthwith.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

March 07, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No