

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRL. MISC. No.M-44079 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 14th MARCH, 2017**

Joginder Singh & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

CRL. MISC. No.44131 OF 2016 (O&M)

Harchet Singh @ Chet Singh & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Saurabh Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-44079-2016 &
for the respondents in CRM-M-44131-2016.

Mr. Manpreet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-44131-2016 &
for the respondents in CRM-M-44079-2016.

Mr. Daljeet Singh Virk, DAG, Punjab.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

These are the petitions under Section 482 Cr.P.C. by both the parties seeking quashing of FIR No.122 dated 17.07.2012 registered under Sections 325, 323, 506 & 34 IPC at Police Station Sadar Patiala, District Patiala, in view of compromise.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for both the parties submit that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 09.12.2016 in both the petitions asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court. Looking to the report it is seen that the compromise is voluntary and without any threat, coercion and undue influence. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioners in both the petitions. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-44079 OF 2016 & CRL. MISC. No.44131 OF 2016 are allowed.
- (ii) The FIR No.122 dated 17.07.2012 registered under Sections 325, 323, 506 & 34 IPC at Police Station Sadar Patiala, District Patiala, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings qua the petitioners in both the petitions.

14th March, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.