

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4319-2017

Date of Decision : 28.03.2017

AJAY @ CHANDGI

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Kumar Yadav, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, JUDGE (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been named only subsequently in the report under Section 173 Cr.P.C., without having been named in the FIR, with an allegation that he approached the complainant, telling him that he would be attacked and that he should otherwise pay him Rs.10 lacs in advance.

He further submits that no such allegation was even vaguely made in the FIR itself.

The petitioner is stated to be in custody for the past 10 months with the trial at a stage of not even a single witness having been examined.

Keeping in view the aforesaid circumstance, as also the period of custody and the stage of the trial, without making any comment on the merits of the actual involvement or non-involvement of the petitioner, I

consider it appropriate that he be admitted to bail upon furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

March 28, 2017

rajneesh/vinay

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No