

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43121 of 2017

Date of decision: November 15, 2017

Harpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Amit Kumar Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, Advocate
for respondent No.2-complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

This is a petition for anticipatory bail filed by the petitioner in FIR No.04 dated 03.01.2016, under Sections 420, 406 IPC, registered at Police Station Samrala, Police District Khanna.

Counsel for the petitioner as well as counsel for the complainant both are present in the Court today. Both are *ad idem* that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties in relation to the subject matter of the dispute and the complainant is fully satisfied with the compromise.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that he does not have any objection if the bail is granted to the petitioner.

In my opinion, looking to the nature of the allegations in

the FIR , there is no point in getting the petitioner arrested. Hence, the following order is passed:-

ORDER

- (i) The **CRM-M-43121 of 2017** is allowed.
- (ii) Anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate.
- (iii) Petitioner shall not tamper, influence and threaten the prosecution witnesses and complainant as well.
- (iv) In case, the petitioner violates the above said conditions, this order of bail comes to an end automatically without reference to this Court.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

November 15, 2017
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No