

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

Crl. Misc. M 43981 of 2016  
Date of decision: 28.04.2017

*Ranjit Singh and another*

*..... Petitioners*

*Versus*

*State of Punjab and another*

*.....Respondents*

**Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal**

-.-

Present: Mr. P S Dhaliwal, Advocate  
for the petitioners

Mr. Mikhail Kad, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Rajender Kumar, Advocate  
for respondent No. 2

-.-

**Rekha Mittal, J.**

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short, 'Cr.P.C.'), the petitioners have prayed for quashing of FIR No.76 dated 03.08.2015 for offence punishable under Sections 498-A and 323 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code (in short, 'IPC') registered with Police Station Dhanaula District Barnala and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 26.10.2016 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Counsel for the petitioners states that petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 have resolved their dispute and both are residing together as husband and wife.

Vide order dated 27.01.2017, the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements

recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Barnala, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and they have voluntarily compromised the matter.

Counsel for the State does not dispute genuineness of the compromise in view of report of the Court below.

Counsel for respondent No. 2 has conceded to the position.

A perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in that category of cases which can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view the authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in '*Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another*', 2012(4) *R.C.R. (Criminal)* 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that criminal proceedings are put to an end.

In this view of the matter, the petition is allowed and FIR No.76 dated 03.08.2015 for offence punishable under Sections 498-A and 323 read with Section 34 IPC registered with Police Station Dhanaula District Barnala and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise, stand quashed qua the petitioners.

(Rekha Mittal)  
Judge

28.04.2017  
mohan bimbura

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
whether reportable : Yes/No