

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-43972 of 2016

Date of decision : 17.02.2017

Rajinder Kumar Parmar and othersPetitioners

versus

State of Punjab and anr. ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. I.P.S. Kohli, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Vinay Pandey, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 71 dated 05.09.2014 under Sections 406/498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Women Cell, Jalandhar and order dated 21.03.2015, is being sought on the basis of compromise deed dated 16.11.2016 (P-2)

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner No. 3 on 30.11.2012. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. The relationship between them became strained. F.I.R was registered against the petitioners for harassing and humiliating respondent No. 2 on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised, vide compromise deed dated 16.11.2016 (P-2)

In compliance of order dated 09.12.2016, report dated 17.01.2017 of Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) cum Judl. Magistrate 1st Class,

Jalandhar has been received in this regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. The complainant stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioner. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statement given by petitioner No. 1 and 2. Petitioner No. 3 did not give his statement, as he was declared proclaimed offender but the complainant in her statement categorically stated that she has no objection if the F.I.R be quashed against petitioner No. 3 as well, as the matter stands compromised.

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 17.01.2017 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 71 dated 05.09.2014 under Sections 406/498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Women Cell, Jalandhar and order dated 21.03.2015, are quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

17.02.2017

G Arora

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No