

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

128

CRM-M-43039-2017

DATE OF DECISION:14.11.2017

RAJESH KUMAR AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF U.T. CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate for
 Mr. A.S. Gulati, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking a direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from respondent Nos. 4 to 5.

It is stated in the petition that the petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of the private respondents and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause them physical harm.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn my attention to the representation sent to respondent No. 2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, dated 12.11.2017 (Annexure P-6).

Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court Mr. Sukant Gupta, Addl.P.P.,
U.T., Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to
3.

Keeping in view the mandate of the Hon'ble Supreme
Court in the case of *Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another*, JT
2006(6) SC 173, the present petition is disposed of with a direction
to respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh to
look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with
law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the
petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a
stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion
with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in
this order.

14.11.2017

SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No