

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

1) CRM-M No.43899 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 14.9.2017

...

Randhir Singh @ Pinku and othersPetitioners
vs.

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

2) CRM-M No.29922 of 2017 (O&M)

...

Rajan and othersPetitioners
vs.

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Madaan

Present: Mr. Navraj Singh Mahal, Advocate for the petitioners
in CRM-M-43899 of 2016 and for respondent No.2 in
CRM-M-29922-2017.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Ms. Subhreet Kaur, Advocate for respondent No.2 in
CRM-M-43899 of 2016 and for the petitioners in
CRM-M-29922-2017.

...

H. S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

Vide this order I intend to dispose of CRM-M-43899 of
2016 titled as Randhir Singh @ Pinku and others vs. State of Punjab
and another and CRM-M-29922-2017 titled as Rajan and others vs.
State of Punjab and another, being version and cross version in the
same FIR and DDR..

Petitioners - Randhir Singh @ Pinku and others have
brought the CRM-M-43899 of 2016 under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for

quashing of FIR No. 17 dated 1.2.2015, for offences under Sections 341, 342, 323, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar, Jalandhar City against them, whereas petitioners - Rajan and others have brought CRM-M-29922-2017 under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of DDR No. 20 dated 3.2.2015, against them, which is cross version in the abovesaid FIR, alongwith consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise, stated to have been effected between both the parties.

When the petitions came up for hearing, notice of motion was ordered to be issued. The respondent No. 1 - State of Punjab through State counsel, whereas respondent No.2 through Ms. Subhreet Kaur, Advocate, had put in appearance. Then in light of the contention that parties have since effected compromise, they were directed to put in appearance before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded with regard to compromise and the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to send a report to this Court.

Two separate reports have been received from Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jalandhar, in terms of which Randhir Singh @ Pinku, Navdeep Singh (complainant-respondent No.2 in CRM-M-29922-2017), Harveer Singh @ Rajveer, Gurvinder Singh @ Lucky, Kamaljit Singh @ Bunt, Gurinder Singh @ Gutti, Amarjit Singh @ Peter, Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi, Jatinder Singh @ Lala and Jatinder Singh @ Kala, accused-petitioners in CRM-M-43899-2016 and Rajan (complainant-respondent No.2 in CRM-M-43899-2016), Raj Kumar, Shami, Rana, Sajan, Rajesh @ Sheru, Raj Kumar and

Sunny- accused petitioners in CRM-M-29922-2017, had appeared there and their statements were recorded, in terms of which they have admitted to have entered into a voluntary compromise, with free will, without any pressure, coercion or undue influence. Further they have stated that they has no objection if the FIR/DDR in question is quashed by this Court. There is nothing on record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise so arrived at between the parties. Alongwith the report statements of the complainants and all the accused, have been annexed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned State counsel, besides going through the record.

Original compromise deed dated 3.8.2017 has been placed on the file. It is stated that Ranjit Singh @ Gollu one of the petitioner has gone abroad. He is signatory to the compromise deed and is consenting party to the compounding of offences.

Keeping in view the fact that the dispute between the parties has been resolved amicably, which appears to have been arrived at between them voluntarily without any threat or coercion and in terms of ratio of the authority reported as **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others** 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, where in para 28, it has been held as under :-

“The compromise, in a modern society, is the sine qua non of harmony and orderly behaviour. It is the soul of justice and if the power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is used to enhance such a

compromise which, in turn, enhances the social amity and reduces friction, then it truly is “*finest hour of justice*”. Disputes which have their genesis in a matrimonial discord, landlord-tenant matters, commercial transactions and other such matters can safely be dealt with by the Court by exercising its powers under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. in the event of a compromise, but this is not to say that the power is limited to such cases. There can never be any such rigid rule to prescribe the exercise of such power, especially in the absence of any premonitions to forecast and predict eventualities which the cause of justice may throw up during the course of a litigation.”

The compromise is in interest of peace and tranquility in the society and for such like reasons this Court can quash the FIR and ancillary proceedings exercising power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., it appears to be a fit case to exercise such powers.

Accordingly, both the petitions are allowed and the abovesaid FIR and DDR cross version in the said FIR, alongwith ancillary proceedings are hereby quashed.

(**H.S. Madaan**)
Judge

14.9.2017

chugh

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether reportable	Yes / No