

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43842-2016

Date of decision : 5.4.2017

Yuvraj

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vishal Satija, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

RITU BAHRI, J.

Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of FIR No. 497 dated 16.5.2013 under Sections 498-A, 406, 323 IPC registered at Police Station Panipat City District Panipat on the basis of compromise deed dated 10.11.2016 (Annexure P-2).

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner on 18.11.2010 as per Hindu rites and ceremonies. No child was born out of the wedlock. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together. The relationship between them became strained and thereafter, F.I.R was registered against the petitioner on account of harassing and humiliating respondent No. 2 on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised. In compliance of order dated 8.12.2016, report dated 30.3.2017 of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Panipat has been received in this regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. Complainant-respondent No.2 stated

that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want

to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioner. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statements given by petitioner.

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 30.3.2017 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 497 dated 16.5.2013 under Sections 498-A, 406, 323 IPC registered at Police Station Panipat City District Panipat, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

5.4.2017
preeti

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No