

CRM-M-42938-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42938-2017

Date of Decision: 15.12.2017

Sahil Khan @ Dilshad

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Jitender S. Chahal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Sahil Khan @ Dilshad has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.110 dated 07.08.2014, registered at Police Station Barara, District Ambala, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 307, 302, 212 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of respondent-State and complainant has also appeared through his counsel. They contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

CRM-M-42938-2017

From the record, I find that as per the allegations, though the petitioner is not named in the FIR, his name has appeared in the statement of injured. The present petitioner is stated to be armed with pistol and gave fire-arm injury to the injured.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence and in view of the facts and circumstances of the present case, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of regular bail. Otherwise also, if the petitioner is granted the bail, he may tamper with the evidence.

Therefore, from the above, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

However, as the petitioner has been in custody since 25.09.2014, trial Court is directed to expedite the trial by giving short adjournment or by adjourning the case on day to day basis, if necessary. Investigating Officer/Station House Officer of the concerned police station is directed to produce all the remaining prosecution witnesses for examination before the trial Court at the earliest, preferably on the next two or three dates.

15.12.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No