

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -43678 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.05.2017

Balwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Tarun Vir Singh Lehal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.06 dated 30.01.2016, registered under Sections 420, 466, 467, 471 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Ghuman, District Gurdaspur.

On 15.03.2017, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Contents that there was agreement between co-accused, Dalbir Singh and Rani Devi, mother of the complainant, Rajinder Singh was reached for exchange of 19 kanals of land. It is asserted that the petitioner being Patwari certified the documents pertaining to the land of Rani Devi and accordingly entered in the record maintained by him in the patwar khana on the basis of report with regard to land of Dalbir Singh. Co-accused,

Lakhwinder Singh has been admitted to bail by this Court vide order dated 08.07.2016.

Post again on 08.05.2017.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner(s) by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

It is contended that in pursuance of order dated 15.03.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 15.03.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

08.05.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No