

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Sr. No. 115

Case No. : Crl. Misc. No. M-4279 of 2017

Date of Decision : February 09, 2017

Sandla Devi and another Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL.

* * *

Present : Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. :

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 03.02.2017 (Annexure P-5) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Superintendent of Police, Panchkula, but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage, the Superintendent of Police, Panchkula (respondent No.2) is directed to forthwith consider the

aforesaid representation dated 03.02.2017 (Annexure P-5) made on behalf of the petitioners and thereafter take appropriate action on the same, as is deemed fit in accordance with law, to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

February 09, 2017
monika

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned ?</i>	<i>Yes/No.</i>
<i>Whether reportable ?</i>	<i>Yes/No.</i>