

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-43621-2016

Date of Decision:- 17.01.2017

Satvir Singh Nandra

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. A.D.S. Sukhija, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.109 dated 23.09.2016, under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar.

On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner has sought time to get instructions as to when the petitioner is coming to India for settlement with his wife.

Today, learned counsel, on instructions from petitioner, has informed that if notice of motion is issued and compromise is effected in India, then he can visit India.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, going through the allegations contained in the FIR, no ground to issue notice of motion is made out, at this stage, as the marriage of the parties has

solemnized in the year 2014 and thereafter the petitioner had gone back to Canada whereas his wife is residing in India.

Accordingly, the present petition is without any merit and the same is hereby dismissed, at this stage.

However, the petitioner would be at liberty to approach this Court as and when he came to India.

January 17, 2017
naresh.k

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No