

CRM-M-4274-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4274-2017

Date of Decision: 12.07.2017

Surinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. P.S.Ahluwalia, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Surinder Singh has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.07 dated 07.01.2016, registered at Police Station Amargarh, District Sangrur, under Sections 380, 302, 201, 483, 427, 411 and 148 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel appeared on behalf of respondent-State and complainant also appeared through his counsel. They contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, has been registered on the statement of complainant-Gurpiar Singh. As per

CRM-M-4274-2017

the case of prosecution, complainant's maternal aunt namely Baljeet Kaur and her three children are permanent citizens of USA. His maternal aunt has come to India for the last two years, however, her three children are residing in USA. His maternal aunt has been residing with his maternal uncle at village Bhikhi Khatra, P.S.Maloud, District Ludhiana, for the last six months. His uncle namely Hardeep Singh is residing alone in the house at Amargarh. On 05.01.2016, Gagandeep Kaur daughter of his maternal aunt rang him from USA that her father is not at home for the last some days and asked him to inquire into about the same. The petitioner has been nominated in the present case. Charges have already been framed and complainant and investigating officer of this case have been examined in chief.

Learned State counsel and learned counsel for the complainant have contested the present petition and stated that there is sufficient evidence against the present petitioner.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence and in view of the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of regular bail at this stage.

Dismissed.

12.07.2017

parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No