

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42733-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 16.11.2017

Achintdeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Bikramjit Aroura, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Arora, Addl.A.G., Punjab,
assisted by ASI Hira Singh.

Mr. R.S. Manhas, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking bail in FIR No.09 dated 21.02.2016, registered under Sections 304-B and 315 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Verowal, District Tarn Taran.

Contends that only bald and general allegations have been levelled against the petitioner-husband. He is in custody since 24.02.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the instant petition. On instructions, learned State counsel submits that the trial is in progress and three out of seventeen prosecution witnesses stand examined, including the complainant.

Heard.

Keeping in view the facts that the petitioner is behind the bars since 24.02.2016, the complainant already stands examined and fourteen prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined, thus the conclusion of trial

may take considerable time; without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

However, anything noticed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

16.11.2017

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No