

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-42721 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 13.11.2017

Radha Devi and another Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sanjay Vashisth, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

The petitioners have filed a criminal revision against the summoning order dated 3.10.2016 (Annexure-P-6), passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Faridabad, before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, on 23.12.2016 (Annexure-P-7). Alongwith the said criminal revision, an application (Annexure-P-8) for stay of proceedings was also filed, on which no order is stated to have been passed. Again, another application (Annexure-10) was filed on 23.10.2017 for stay of operation of impugned summoning order dated 3.10.2016, on which also no order has been passed. The grouse of the petitioners is that the trial Court is proceeding with the complaint case and in case, revision is not decided, the trial will be concluded and his revision will become infructuous.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to respondents, petition is disposed of with a direction to the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, to decide the revision of the petitioners within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order under

CRM No. M-42721 of 2017 (O/M)

intimation to this Court. The trial Court may proceed with the complaint case pending before it, but final order shall not be pronounced till the decision of revision.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

13.11.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No