

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

CRM-M No. 43583-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 7.4.2017

Balwant Singh @ Rimpal and others ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. H.S. Mann, Advocate for petitioners.

Ms. Manpreet Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 193 dated 21.7.2015 under Sections 376, 377, 498-A, 406, 323, 109 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station City Kapurthala, District Kapurthala is sought on the basis of compromise deed dated 15.10.2016 (Annexure P-2).

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner No. 1 on 27.1.2011. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together. The relationship between them became strained and thereafter, F.I.R was registered against the petitioners on account of harassing and humiliating respondent No. 2 on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised. In compliance of order dated 6.12.2016, report dated 17.2.2017 of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kapurthala has been received in this regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. Complainant-respondent No.2 stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties. She does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioners. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statements given by petitioners.

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 17.2.2017 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 193 dated 21.7.2015 under Sections 376, 377, 498-A, 406, 323, 109 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station City Kapurthala, District Kapurthala is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

7.4.2017
preeti

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>