

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43565 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: February 16, 2017

Ved Parkash

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. S.S. Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

Mr. J.P. Jangu, Advocate for respondent No.2-complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.78 dated 26.04.2016, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Kosli, District Rewari, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 06.12.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

February 16, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No