

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-4268 of 2017

Date of Decision: May 22, 2017

Sandeep Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH**

Present:- Mr. Vishal Nehra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana

\*\*\*

**Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)**

By this petition, filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail'.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 27.08.2015 with the trial still progressing at snails' pace.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, learned Addl. Advocate General, Haryana, on instructions from Inspector Gajraj Singh, submits that one witness out of 33 witnesses has been examined and that an enquiry with regard to the death of Pawan Kumar in the said occurrence itself, is pending with the State Crime Branch, Madhuban.

On query, he further submits that the said Pawan Kumar is stated to be on the 'side' of the present accused.

Considering the above facts though learned State counsel also submits that a number of cases are pending against the petitioner, however, with the trial nowhere near conclusion in the near future, I deem it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail.

Consequently, this petition is allowed. The petitioner would be enlarged on bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

However, it is made clear that nothing observed will be taken to be a comment on the merits of the case, which would be gone into by the trial court after appraisal of the evidence led before it.

May 22, 2017  
vcgarg

**(Amol Rattan Singh)**  
**Judge**

**Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes**  
**Whether reportable: no**